

Proof. Since $\varphi_\lambda(z) \in \text{Exp}_{R(\lambda)}(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$, there exists a number $r < R(\lambda)$ such that $|u(z)| \leq M \exp r|z|$. Then, as follows from Cauchy's formula, for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$|D^\alpha \varphi_\lambda(z)| \leq M_\lambda |\alpha|^{n/2} r^{|\alpha|} \exp r|z|, \quad (4.2)$$

where $M_\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

From this it follows that

$$A(D)u(z) \equiv \sum_\lambda \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_\alpha(\lambda) (D - \lambda I)^\alpha [e^{\lambda z} \varphi_\lambda(z)] \right) = \sum_\lambda e^{\lambda z} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_\alpha(\lambda) D^\alpha \varphi_\lambda(z) \right) \equiv \sum_\lambda e^{\lambda z} \psi_\lambda(z),$$

where $\psi_\lambda(z) \in \text{Exp}_{R(\lambda)}(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$, since by inequality (4.2)

$$|\psi_\lambda(z)| \leq M_\lambda \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} |a_\alpha(\lambda)| |\alpha|^{n/2} r^{|\alpha|} \right) \exp r|z| \equiv M_{1\lambda} \exp r|z|$$

[we recall that $r < R(\lambda)$]. This means that $A(D)u(z) \in \text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$.

The continuity of the mapping (*) can be established by analogous and only a little more complex arguments. The theorem is proved.

From the theorem proved it follows that the collection of p/d operators $A(D)$ with symbols $A(\zeta) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and domain $\text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ form an algebra (the ring operation is composition). We denote this algebra by $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)$. Further, let $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be the algebra of analytic functions in the domain Ω .

The following conclusion is a corollary of Theorem 4.1.

Conclusion. The algebraic isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{A}(\Omega) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Omega),$$

defined by the correspondence $A(D) \leftrightarrow A(\zeta)$ holds. Moreover, if together with $A(\zeta)$ the function $A^{-1}(\zeta)$ is also analytic in Ω , then

$$\frac{I}{A(D)} \circ A(D) = A(D) \circ \frac{I}{A(D)} = I,$$

where I is the identity operator.

Examples. 1. Let $u(z) = \exp \lambda z$ and let $A(\zeta)$ be analytic in a neighborhood of the point $\zeta = \lambda$. Then $A(D) \exp \lambda z = A(\lambda) \exp \lambda z$.

2. Let $n = 1$, $A(\zeta) = 1/\zeta$, $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus L$, where L is some ray issuing from the origin. Then

$$\text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^1) = \left\{ u(z) : u(z) = \sum_\lambda e^{\lambda z} \varphi_\lambda(z) \right\},$$

where $\varphi_\lambda(z) \in \text{Exp}_{R(\lambda)}(\mathbb{C}_z^1)$, and $R(\lambda)$ is the distance from the point λ to the ray L . The p/d operator I/D is the inverse operator to the operator of differentiation. Thus, to each function $u(z) \in \text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^1)$ there is assigned the function

$$\frac{I}{D} u(z) = \sum_\lambda e^{\lambda z} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{\lambda^{m+1}} D^m \varphi_\lambda(z),$$

which is the unique primitive function of $u(z)$ which also belongs to the space $\text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$. We call this primitive "natural" and write

$$\frac{I}{D} u(z) = \text{nat} \int u(z) dz.$$

5. Correctness of the Definition of a P/D Operator

Let Ω be a Runge domain. We shall show that the action of a p/d operator $A(D)$ does not depend on the representation of a function $u(z) \in \text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ in the form of a sum

$$u(z) = \sum_\lambda e^{\lambda z} \varphi_\lambda(z), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\varphi_\lambda(z) \in \text{Exp}_{R(\lambda)}(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ (see Definition 4.1).

Indeed, suppose that in addition to (5.1) the function $u(z)$ can be represented in the form

$$u(z) = \sum_{\mu} e^{\mu z} \hat{\varphi}_{\mu}(z), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\mu \in \Omega$, just as $\lambda \in \Omega$, runs through a finite set of values.

To prove that $A(D)u(z)$ is well defined we use the multidimensional generalization of the Borel inversion formula (see, for example, [35]). Namely, if

$$u(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} u_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

is an entire function of exponential type $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, then

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} Bu(\zeta) e^{z\zeta} d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where Γ_{ε} is the hull of the polycylinder $U_{\varepsilon} = \{\zeta: |\zeta_j| < r_j + \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0, j=1, \dots, n\}$, and

$$Bu(\zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha! u_{\alpha}}{\zeta^{\alpha+1}} \quad (\alpha+1 = (\alpha_1+1, \dots, \alpha_n+1)).$$

In correspondence with this formula and the representation (5.1)

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\lambda} e^{\lambda z} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} B\varphi_{\lambda}(\zeta) e^{z\zeta} d\zeta, \quad (5.3)$$

where $B\varphi_{\lambda}(\zeta)$ is the function associated in the Borel sense with the function $\varphi_{\lambda}(\zeta)$, and $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$ is the hull of the polycylinder $U_{\varepsilon, \lambda} = \{\zeta: |\zeta_j| < r(\lambda) + \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0, j=1, \dots, n\}$. We here choose the number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that translation of the hull $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$ by the vector λ lies strictly inside Ω . Then in correspondence with Definition 4.1 we obviously obtain

$$A(D)u(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha}(\lambda) (D - \lambda I)^{\alpha} e^{\lambda z} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} B\varphi_{\lambda}(\zeta) e^{z\zeta} d\zeta \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\lambda} e^{\lambda z} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} A(\lambda + \zeta) B\varphi_{\lambda}(\zeta) e^{z\zeta} d\zeta. \quad (5.4)$$

Similarly, for the representation (5.2) we obtain the formulas

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\mu} e^{\mu z} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \mu}} B\hat{\varphi}_{\mu}(\zeta) e^{z\zeta} d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$A(D)u(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\mu} e^{\mu z} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \mu}} A(\mu + \zeta) B\hat{\varphi}_{\mu}(\zeta) e^{z\zeta} d\zeta \quad (5.6)$$

(the notation is clear).

To prove that the values of $A(D)u(z)$ defined by formulas (5.4) and (5.6) coincide we consider the analytic functionals

$$L(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\lambda} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} v(\lambda + \zeta) B\varphi_{\lambda}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

and

$$M(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\mu} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \mu}} v(\mu + \zeta) B\hat{\varphi}_{\mu}(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

where $v(\zeta) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is an arbitrary function.

Formulas (5.3), (5.5) mean that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the equality $L(e^{z\zeta}) = M(e^{z\zeta})$ holds, whence it follows that the functionals $L(v)$ and $M(v)$ coincide on the set of all linear combinations of exponentials. Since Ω is a Runge domain, it follows that $L(v) = M(v)$ for any function $v(\zeta) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. In particular, setting $v(\zeta) = A(\zeta) e^{z\zeta}$, we obtain the equality

$$L(A(\zeta) e^{z\zeta}) = M(A(\zeta) e^{z\zeta}),$$

which by formulas (5.4) and (5.6) means that the definition of $A(D)u(z)$ does not depend on the form of representing $u(z)$. This is what was required.

In conclusion we shall show that the Runge condition is essential.

Counterexample. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $A(D) = I/D$. Obviously, the symbol $A(\zeta) = 1/\zeta$ is analytic in Ω . We shall show that the operator $A(D)$ is not single-valued in the space $\text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^1)$. Indeed, for any $\lambda \neq 0$ we have by Cauchy's formula

$$e^{\lambda z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\eta|=R} \frac{e^{\eta z}}{\eta - \lambda} d\eta, \quad R > |\lambda|,$$

and hence, breaking the contour of integration into N sufficiently small parts, we find that

$$e^{\lambda z} = \sum_{j=0}^N \int_{\Gamma_j} \frac{e^{\eta z}}{\eta - \lambda} d\eta \equiv \sum_{j=0}^N e^{\lambda_j z} \varphi_j(z), \quad \lambda_j \in \Gamma_j, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\varphi_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_j} \frac{e^{(\eta - \lambda_j)z}}{\eta - \lambda} d\eta.$$

Obviously, Γ_j can be taken so small that $|\varphi_j(z)| \leq M \exp r|z|$, where $r < |\lambda|$, $M > 0$ are constants. Thus, formula (5.7) gives a representation of the function $e^{\lambda z}$ in the space $\text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^1)$ in the form (5.1).

In correspondence with the definition of $A(D)u(z)$ we have, on the one hand, $[I/D] \exp \lambda z = \lambda^{-1} \exp \lambda z$. On the other hand, proceeding from (5.7), we find that

$$\frac{I}{D} e^{\lambda z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\eta|=R} \frac{e^{\eta z} d\eta}{\eta(\eta - \lambda)} = \lambda^{-1} (\exp \lambda z - 1).$$

Thus, in the space $\text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ if Ω is not a Runge domain the operator $A(D)$, generally speaking, may be multivalued.

In conclusion we note that an analogous representation holds for z^n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, i.e., $z^n \in \text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^1)$, $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, and $[I/D] z^n = z^{n+1}/(n+1)$.

6. Exponential Functionals

Definition 6.1. A continuous linear functional on the space $\text{Exp}_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ is called an exponential functional.

The space of all exponential functional we denote by $\text{Exp}'_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ and call the space of exponential functionals associated with the domain Ω .

Example 1. $\delta(z)$ is obviously an exponential functional for any domain Ω .

Example 2. Let $A(\zeta) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. Then $h(z) = A(-D)\delta(z)$ ($-D = (-\partial/\partial z_1, \dots, -\partial/\partial z_n)$) is an exponential functional acting on $v(z) \in \text{Exp}'_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ according to the formula

$$\langle h(z), v(z) \rangle \equiv \langle A(-D)\delta(z), v(z) \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \delta(z), A(D)v(z) \rangle.$$

We shall show that Example 2 exhausts all exponential functionals.

THEOREM 6.1. Let $h(z) \in \text{Exp}'_\Omega(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$. Then there exists a unique function $A(\zeta) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $h(z) = A(-D)\delta(z)$.

Proof. We set $A(\zeta) \equiv \langle h(z), \exp \zeta z \rangle$, $\zeta \in \Omega$. Obviously, $A(\zeta) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, and it is clear that

$$\langle A(-D)\delta(z), \exp \zeta z \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \delta(z), A(D)\exp \zeta z \rangle = A(\zeta),$$

i.e., the action of $h(z)$ coincides with the action of the functional $A(-D)\delta(z)$ on functions $\exp \zeta z$, $\zeta \in \Omega$.

From the density lemma (Sec. 3) we conclude that the functionals $h(z)$ and $A(-D)\delta(z)$ are equal as exponential functionals. The uniqueness of this representation is obvious. The theorem is proved.

Example 3. We consider the exponential functional $\mathcal{G}(z) = \exp(aD^2)\delta(z)$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a parameter, and $aD^2 = a_1 D_1^2 + \dots + a_n D_n^2$. It can be shown (cf. [11, p. 110]) that for any function